Laser cooling and trapping I

F. Pereira Dos Santos SYRTE Observatoire de Paris, PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, LNE

Cold Atom Predoc School Quantum simulations with ultracold atomic gases Les Houches, 13-24 September 2021

SYRTE

SYRTE is a Department of the Paris Observatory



SYRTE research activities :

- Time and Frequency Metrology (LNE-SYRTE)
- Fundamental Astronomy
- History of sciences (astronomy)
- LNE-SYRTE research activities :
- Atomic time scales (French Atomic Time, TAI)
- Atomic clocks (µwave + optical)
- Links (µwave, optical links)
- Atom Interferometry and Inertial Sensors

SYRTE

Atomic Interferometry and Inertial Sensors Team

8 permanent staff (5 CNRS, 1 LNE, 1 MDC, 1 Emeritus) + 10 students and post-docs



Our activities :

Development of high performance atom interferometers (for inertial and gravity sensing)

https://syrte.obspm.fr/spip/science/iaci/

Laser cooling and trapping: a bit of history

1933 : demonstration by Otto Frisch of radiation pressure with resonance lamp (deflection of a thermal beam of Na, limited by the low brightness of the lamp)

60's: intensity gradients shown (in theory) to induce forces, dipole force proposed to trap atoms (Letokhov) and used to trap small glass spheres (Ashkin)

70's: first proposals for the use of tunable lasers for selective radiative forces Hansch and Schawlow (for atoms), and Wineland and Dehmelt (for ions): Doppler cooling

80's: (experimental) advent of laser cooling and trapping of neutral atoms



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Steven Chu Prize share: 1/3



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Claude Cohen-Tannoudji Prize share: 1/3



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. William D. Phillips Prize share: 1/3

The Nobel Prize in Physics 1997 was awarded jointly to Steven Chu, Claude Cohen-Tannoudji and William D. Phillips "for development of methods to cool and trap atoms with laser light."

Laser cooling and trapping

Laser cooling methods were instrumental in several breakthroughs

1995: Bose Einstein condensation demonstrated in dilute gases



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Eric A. Cornell Prize share: 1/3



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Wolfgang Ketterle Prize share: 1/3



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Carl E. Wieman Prize share: 1/3

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2001 was awarded jointly to Eric A. Cornell, Wolfgang Ketterle and Carl E. Wieman "for the achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases of alkali atoms, and for early fundamental studies of the properties of the condensates."

Laser cooling and trapping



© The Nobel Foundation. Photo: U. Montan Serge Haroche Prize share: 1/2



© The Nobel Foundation. Photo: U. Montan David J. Wineland Prize share: 1/2

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2012 was awarded jointly to Serge Haroche and David J. Wineland "for ground-breaking experimental methods that enable measuring and manipulation of individual quantum systems."

Wineland: First laser cooling of ions (Mg II) D. J. Wineland, R. E. Drullinger, and F. L. Walls, Radiation-Pressure Cooling of Bound Resonant Absorbers Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 1639 (1978)

Laser cooling is instrumental for realizing accurate ion clocks (Be+, Hg+, Al+)

Second first laser cooling of ions (Ba+)

W. Neuhauser, M. Hohenstatt, P. Toschek, and H. Dehmelt Optical-Sideband Cooling of Visible Atom Cloud Confined in Parabolic Well Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 233 (1978)

> The Nobel Prize in Physics 1989 was divided, one half awarded to Norman F. Ramsey "for the invention of the separated oscillatory fields method and its use in the hydrogen maser and other atomic clocks", the other half jointly to Hans G. Dehmelt and Wolfgang Paul "for the development of the ion trap technique."



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Hans G. Dehmelt

Prize share: 1/4

- 1 : Radiative forces
- 2 : Doppler cooling
- 3 : The magneto optical trap
- 4 : Technological aspects

- 1 : Radiative forces
- 2 : Doppler cooling
- 3 : The magneto optical trap
- 4 : Technological aspects

A two level atom, with ground state g and excited state e

A photon with energy hv and momentum $\hbar k$



The atom, initially in its ground state, absorbs the photon.

Conservation of energy \rightarrow it changes electronic state

Conservation of momentum \rightarrow it changes momentum

$$p \rightarrow p + \hbar k$$



Corresponding change of velocity : $\Delta v = v_r = \frac{\hbar k}{M}$ (recoil velocity)

For Rb, $\Delta v \sim 6$ mm/s to be compared with thermal velocity $v_T \sim \sqrt{k_B T/M} \sim 100$ m/s -1 km/s



Excited state with a finite lifetime $\tau = 1/\Gamma$

The atom will then deexcite via spontaneous decay

by scattering a photon in a random direction

Absorption/emission cycles occur at a maximum rate ~ Γ

```
This leads to a (mean) force F \sim \hbar k \Gamma
```

And to a (maximal) acceleration $a = F/M \sim \hbar k \Gamma/M$

```
For Rb atoms, a \sim 105 g
```

With such an acceleration, we could slow down an atom at 100 m/s in ~1 ms and over a distance of ~ 5 cm

Let's have a closer look at energy conservation

Before

An atom in state g, with momentum p A photon with frequency ν



After

An atom in state e, with momentum $p + \hbar k$



Conservation of energy :

$$E_{g} + \frac{p^{2}}{2M} + hv = E_{e} + \frac{(p+\hbar k)^{2}}{2M}$$

$$\hbar\omega_{g} + \frac{p^{2}}{2M} + \hbar\omega_{L} = \hbar\omega_{e} + \frac{p^{2}}{2M} + \hbar k \frac{p}{M} + \frac{(\hbar k)^{2}}{2M} \qquad E_{i} = \hbar\omega_{i}$$

$$\omega_{L} = \omega_{e} - \omega_{g} + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v} + \frac{\hbar k^{2}}{2M},$$
Recoil shift : $\frac{\delta\omega_{r}}{2\pi} \sim \text{few kHz}$
Recoil energy : $E_{r} = \frac{(\hbar k)^{2}}{2M}$

$$e - E_{e}$$
For $v = v_{T}$, Doppler (frequent

 $\hbar\omega_L$

g

 E_{g}

For $v = v_T$, Doppler (frequency) shift $\delta v = \frac{\delta \omega}{2\pi} = \frac{v_T}{\lambda} \sim 100 \text{ MHz} - 1 \text{ GHz}$

Atoms interacting with the electromagnetic field (laser+vacuum) is a quantum problem



Hamiltonian of the system: $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_R + \hat{V}_{AL} + \hat{V}_{AR}$

with
$$\hat{H}_A = \hbar \omega_0 |e\rangle \langle e| + \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m}$$
 and $\hat{H}_R = \sum \hbar \omega_l \hat{a}_l^+ \hat{a}_l$

Interaction between the atoms and the field is the electric dipole interaction.

The electric field : $E(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\varepsilon(\vec{r})}{2} (\epsilon(\vec{r})e^{-i\omega t - i\varphi(\vec{r})} + c.c.)$ is coupled to the electric dipole moment $D = d(|e\rangle \langle g|+|g\rangle \langle e|)$

The coupling term $\hat{V}_{AL} = -D.E(\hat{R},t)$ is given (in RWA and semiclassical approximation) by :

$$\hat{V}_{AL} \approx \frac{\hbar \Omega(\vec{r})}{2} (|e\rangle \langle g|e^{-i\omega t - i\varphi(\vec{r})} + h.c.)$$

with $\Omega(\vec{r})$ the Rabi frequency, which characterizes the strength of the coupling.

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}) = \frac{(\boldsymbol{d}.\,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}))\,\,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}})}{\hbar}$$

NB : Link with the laser intensity : $I \propto \mathcal{E}^2 \propto \Omega^2$ $\frac{2\Omega^2}{\Gamma^2} = \frac{I}{I_{sat}}$, I_{sat} the saturation intensity

Important parameters that govern this evolution:

- For the coherent part, that corresponds to the interaction of the atoms with the laser:
- $\Omega(\vec{r})$ the Rabi frequency
- $\Delta = \omega_L \omega_0$ the (laser) detuning to (the atomic) resonance
- For the incoherent part,

that corresponds to the interaction of the atoms with the vacuum field:

- Γ the spontaneous decay rate

Calculation of the force:

Force operator in the Heisenberg operator picture

$$\frac{d\hat{R}}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{R}, \hat{H}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{R}, \hat{H}_A] = \hat{P}$$

$$\widehat{F} = \frac{d\widehat{P}}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\widehat{P}, \widehat{H}] = -\nabla \widehat{V}_{AL} - \nabla \widehat{V}_{AR}$$

The force *F* is the expectation value of the force operator $F = \langle \hat{F} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \hat{V}_{AL} \rangle$ $\langle \nabla \hat{V}_{AR} \rangle = 0$ since spontaneous photons are scattered in random directions

$$F = \langle \widehat{F} \rangle = - \langle \nabla \widehat{V}_{AL} \rangle = \langle \nabla D. E(\widehat{R}, t) \rangle = \langle D. \nabla E(\widehat{R}, t) \rangle$$

Semiclassical approximation: *F* is calculated at position $\vec{r} = \langle \hat{R} \rangle$ and for a momentum $\vec{p} = \langle \hat{P} \rangle$ $\rightarrow \langle \boldsymbol{D}. \nabla \boldsymbol{E}(\hat{R}, t) \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{D} \rangle. \nabla \boldsymbol{E}(\vec{r}, t)$

D evolves at a rate of order $1/\Gamma$, much faster than the atom position/velocity **D** replaced by its steady state D_{st}

$$\rightarrow F = \langle \boldsymbol{D}_{st} \rangle. \nabla \boldsymbol{E}(\vec{r}, t)$$

Evolution of the atomic system and thus of D can be calculated by using the Optical Bloch Equations, which allow to treat both coherent and incoherent processes.

OBE are equations of the evolution of the internal state matrix density $\hat{\sigma}$ given by

$$i\hbar\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dt} = \left[\hat{H}_A + \hat{V}_{AL}, \hat{\sigma}\right] - i\hbar\hat{\Gamma}\hat{\sigma}$$

The average dipole is given by $\langle \mathbf{D} \rangle = Tr\{\mathbf{D}\hat{\sigma}\} = \mathbf{d}(\sigma_{eg} + \sigma_{ge})$

After solving OBE, one finds

$$\langle \boldsymbol{D}_{st} \rangle. \, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\vec{r}) = 2\boldsymbol{d}. \, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\vec{r}) \frac{s(\vec{r})}{1+s(\vec{r})} \left(\frac{\Delta}{\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vec{r})} \cos\left(\omega t + \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\vec{r})\right) - \frac{\Gamma}{2\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vec{r})} \sin\left(\omega t + \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\vec{r})\right) \right)$$

With $s = \frac{\Omega(\vec{r})^2/2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$

In phase with the electric field Related to the real part of the polarizability

Conservative force

Zero at resonance

Out of phase with the electric field Related to the imaginary part of the polarizability and thus to absorption

Dissipative force

Maximum at resonance

Radiative forces

One finds two contributions :

 A dissipative force, the radiation pressure force, linked to the transfer of momentum in resonant scattering processes. It is governed by the scattering rate Γ'.

$$F_{rp} = \hbar k \ \Gamma' = \hbar k \ \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{s(\vec{r})}{1+s(\vec{r})} \quad \text{with } s(\vec{r}) = \frac{\Omega(\vec{r})^2/2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \text{ the saturation parameter}$$

- A dispersive force, the **dipole force**, which can be interpreted as a position dependent light shift due to spatially varying intensity. It is governed by the intensity gradient. It results from a redistribution of photons in the laser field in absorption-stimulated emission cycles

$$F_{dip} = -\frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} \frac{\nabla s(\vec{r})}{1+s(\vec{r})}$$

It derives from the dipole potential $U_{dip} = \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} \ln(1 + s(\vec{r}))$

The radiation pressure

It is due to absorption-spontaneous emission cycles that happen at a rate $\Gamma' = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{s}{1+s}$ $F_{rp} = \hbar k \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{s}{1+s}$ with $s = \frac{\Omega^2/2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$ $\Omega = 0.2 \Gamma$ $\Omega = 0.5 I$ $\Omega = 1 \Gamma$ Or $\Omega = 5\Gamma$ $F_{rp} = \hbar k \, \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{\Omega^2/2}{\Omega^2/2 + \Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$ F/F_{max} 0 It saturates at $F_{max} = \hbar k \frac{\Gamma}{2}$ -5 -10 0 5 10 Δ/Γ

At low intensity ($\Omega < \Gamma$), the width versus Δ is given by Γ

At high intensity ($\Omega \gg \Gamma$), the width is given by Ω (power broadening)

The radiation pressure

Let us consider an atom with a velocity v and a laser at resonance

The Doppler shift corresponds to a detuning $\Delta = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}$

$$F_{rp} = \hbar k \, \frac{\Gamma}{2} \frac{\Omega^2 / 2}{\Omega^2 / 2 + (\vec{k}.\vec{v})^2 + \Gamma^2 / 4}$$

At low intensity, the force reduces drastically when $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v} \gg \Gamma$

This corresponds to $v \gg v_L = \lambda \Gamma/2\pi$

For Rb, $\lambda = 780$ nm, $\Gamma/2\pi = 6$ MHz, this gives $v_L = 4.7$ m/s

This gets somehow larger when increasing the intensity.

But then how could I stop an atom at thermal velocities (1 km/s)?

Zeeman slower

How to keep the atoms in resonance?

Idea (B. Phillips) : tune the energy of the atomic states with a magnetic field





Figure 4. Upper: Schematic representation of a Zeeman slower. Lower: Variation of the axial field with position.

The B field amplitude is inhomogeneous.

It varies so as to compensate via the Zeeman shift the change in Doppler shift

The atoms remain on resonance

Need of a B field amplitude of order of 1000 G for a change of frequency of order of 1 GHz $(\gamma \sim 1 \text{ MHz/G})$

Zeeman slower

Laser Deceleration of an Atomic Beam William D. Phillips and Harold Metcalf Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 596 (1982)





Atoms slowed down by about 40% of their initial thermal velocity

15000 photons absorbed

The dipole force

Expression :
$$F_{dip} = -\frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} \frac{\nabla s(\vec{r})}{1+s(\vec{r})}$$
 with $s = \frac{\Omega^2/2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$

It is null at resonance and changes sign with the detuning (dispersive)

It derives from the dipole potential $U_{dip} = \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} \ln(1 + s(\vec{r}))$

In the low saturation regime $s \ll 1$, $U_{dip} = \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} s(\vec{r})$ and $F_{dip} = -\frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} \nabla s(\vec{r})$

• For large detuning,
$$s = \frac{\Omega^2/2}{\Delta^2}$$
 and $U_{dip} = \frac{\hbar\Omega^2}{4\Delta}$

• $\Delta < 0$: Attractive potential :

The atoms are attracted towards the intensity maxima

- $\Delta > 0$: Repulsive potential
- Spontaneous emission rate : $\Gamma' = \frac{\Gamma}{2}s = \frac{\Gamma}{\Delta}U_{dip}$

When $\Delta \gg \Gamma$, spontaneous emission may become negligible The (total) force is dominated by the dipole force, which is conservative



The dipole force

• $\Delta < 0$ (red detuning) : Attractive potential



Optical lattices



Absorption imaging of atoms trapped at the crossing X. Alauze, SYRTE

I. Bloch Nature Physics 1, 23 (2005)

Courses to come by David Clément

The dipole force

• $\Delta > 0$ (blue detuning) : repulsive potential

Mirror for atom waves



Aminoff et al., PRL 71, 3083 (1993)

Box-shape potential with one hollow "tube" beam + two "sheet" beams



Gaunt et al, PRL 110, 200406 (2013)

- 1 : Radiative forces
- 2 : Doppler cooling
- 3 : The magneto optical trap
- 4 : Technological aspects

Doppler cooling

Let us send two **red-detuned** counterpropagating laser beams



In the frame of the atom, the lasers are Doppler shifted in opposite directions



The force exerted by the « right » beam is larger than the one by the « left »

As a result, the net force is opposed to the motion of the atom

Doppler cooling

In the low saturation regime, the net force is the sum of the two forces



with $\alpha = \frac{-2\Delta\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}\hbar k^2 s_0$ and $s_0 = \frac{2\Omega^2}{\Gamma^2} = \frac{I}{I_{sat}}$ The friction α is maximum for $\Delta = -\frac{\Gamma}{2}$ and $\alpha_{max} = 2\hbar k^2 s_0$

Equation of motion : $m\dot{v} = -\alpha v$. The solution is $v(t) = v(0)e^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}t}$.

Damping time : $\tau = \frac{m}{\alpha} = \frac{\hbar}{4E_r} \frac{1}{s_0}$ Order of magnitude (Rb with $s_0 = 0.1$) : ~ 100 µs

Generalization to 3D : 3 pairs of counterpropagating beams



In the low saturation regime, the net force is the sum of the 6 forces

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{sum} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \boldsymbol{F}_{j}(\boldsymbol{v})$$

If all beams have the same intensities, and for small velocities, we find

$$F_{sum} = -\alpha v$$



Optical molasses for sodium atoms Credit: K .Helmerson (NIST).

The friction is related to the average force experienced by the atoms,

but there are fluctuations of the force as well related to the scattering events.

 $m\dot{v} = -\alpha v + F(t)$

The dynamic in the momentum space is a Brownian motion with momentum kicks $\hbar k$ in random directions when photons are absorbed and emitted

$$m\boldsymbol{\nu}(t) = m\boldsymbol{\nu}(0)e^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}t} + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}(t-t')}F(t')dt'$$

The evolution of the kinetic energy is given by

$$\frac{d\langle p_j^2(t)\rangle}{dt} = 2\left\langle p_j(t)\frac{dp_j}{dt}\right\rangle = -2\frac{\alpha}{m}\langle p_j^2(t)\rangle + 2\langle p_j(t)F_j(t)\rangle$$

The correlation between the force fluctuations and the momentum is

$$\left\langle p_j(t)F_j(t)\right\rangle = \left\langle p_j(0)F_j(t)\right\rangle e^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}t} + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}(t-t')} \left\langle F_j(t')F_j(t)\right\rangle dt' = 0 + D$$

With *D* the momentum diffusion coefficient

The evolution of the kinetic energy is then given by

$$\frac{d\langle p_j^2(t)\rangle}{dt} = -2\frac{\alpha}{m}\langle p_j^2(t)\rangle + 2D$$

Whose steady state corresponds to

$$p_j^2 \rangle = \frac{D}{\frac{\alpha}{m}}$$

This corresponds to an effective temperature given by

$$\frac{1}{2}k_BT = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\langle p_j^2 \rangle}{m} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{D}{\alpha}$$

$$k_B T = \frac{D}{\alpha}$$

How to calculate the momentum diffusion coefficient?

 $\frac{d\langle p_j^2(t) \rangle}{dt} = -2 \frac{\alpha}{m} \langle p_j^2(t) \rangle + 2D \longleftarrow D$ is related to the rate at which the kinetic energy increases in absorption/emission cycles

Over a time Δt there are ΔN momentum kicks $\hbar k$ in a random direction

This gives an increase of $\Delta \langle p_j^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3} (\hbar k)^2 \Delta N$ And a rate of increase of $\frac{\Delta \langle p_j^2 \rangle}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{3} (\hbar k)^2 \frac{\Delta N}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{3} (\hbar k)^2 R$, where *R* the rate of scattering events This leads to $D = \frac{1}{6} (\hbar k)^2 R$

In the molasses, the rate of event is $R = 6(\frac{\Gamma}{2}s_0 + \frac{\Gamma}{2}s_0)$ Rate of spontaneous emission 6 beams Rate of absorption

Finally we get $D = (\hbar k)^2 \Gamma s_0$

$$k_B T = \frac{D}{\alpha}$$

Friction
$$\alpha = \frac{-2\Delta\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \hbar k^2 s_0 < \alpha_{max} = 2\hbar k^2 s_0$$

Momentum diffusion coefficient $D = (\hbar k)^2 \Gamma s_0$

$$T = \frac{\hbar}{2k_B} \frac{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}{|\Delta|} > T_{min} = \frac{\hbar\Gamma}{2k_B}$$

For Rb,
$$T_{min} = 145 \ \mu K$$

The momentum distribution is Gaussian : $f(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi m k_B T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{p^2}{2m k_B T}}$

For Rb, the rms velocity width :
$$\sigma_v = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{m}} = 12 \text{ cm/s}$$

What is the capture velocity of the molasses ? This depends on the interaction length (ie the size of the laser beam)

$$m\dot{v} = F(v) \Longrightarrow mv \frac{dv}{dx} = F(v) \Longrightarrow dx = \frac{mv}{F(v)} dv$$
$$L = \int dx = \int_{v_c}^{0} \frac{mv}{F(v)} dv$$

This gives
$$v_c = \frac{\Gamma}{k} \left(3 \frac{L}{l} \frac{I}{I_{sat}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 with $l = \frac{M\Gamma}{\hbar k^3}$

For Rb,
$$l = 10 \ \mu m$$

For $L = 1$ inch and $s_0 = \frac{I}{I_{sat}} = 0.1$, we find $v_c = 13 \text{ m/s}$

In practice, larger s_0 are used, which increases v_c

For typical parameters ($s_0 \sim 1$), the optimal detuning is around $\Delta = -3\Gamma$

Does this theory work?

VOLUME 61, NUMBER 2

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

11 JULY 1988

Observation of Atoms Laser Cooled below the Doppler Limit

Paul D. Lett, Richard N. Watts, Christoph I. Westbrook, and William D. Phillips Electricity Division, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

Phillip L. Gould

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06268

and

Harold J. Metcalf

Department of Physics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York 11794 (Received 18 April 1988)

We have measured the temperature of a gas of sodium atoms released from "optical molasses" to be as low as $43 \pm 20 \,\mu$ K. Surprisingly, this strongly violates the generally accepted theory of Doppler cooling which predicts a limit of 240 μ K. To determine the temperature we used several complementary measurements of the ballistic motion of atoms released from the molasses.



Does this theory work ?

With metastable He



R. Chang et al, PRA 90, 063407 (2014)

With Mercury (Hg)



J. J. McFerran et al., Optics Letters 35, 3078 (2010)

It works for isotopes with zero (nuclear) spin !

- 1 : Radiative forces
- 2 : Doppler cooling
- 3 : The magneto optical trap
- 4 : Technological aspects

Let us consider a $J = 0 \rightarrow J = 1$ transition

```
Let us add a magnetic field gradient B = bx
and use for the counterpropagating laser beams opposite circular polarisation
```



And thus unbalanced radiation pressures for atoms away from the zero magnetic field

This leads to a trapping force that pushes the atoms back to the center

For small displacement x, the force is linear :

$$F = -\kappa x$$

with $\kappa = g_J \mu_B b \frac{-2\Delta\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$

The overall equation of motion for small displacements and velocities is

$$m\frac{dv}{dt} = F = -\alpha v - \kappa x$$

This is the equation of a **damped harmonic oscillator** !

Doppler cooling is still active and determines the temperature of the atoms, but also the size of the atomic cloud

The energy being equally distributed between kinetic and potential energy, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}k_BT = \frac{1}{2}m\sigma_v^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa\sigma_x^2$$

$$\text{Rb, } b = 10\text{G/cm, } s_0 = 0.1$$

$$\sigma_x = \left(\frac{\hbar\Gamma}{4kg_J\mu_B bs_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sigma_x = 36 \ \mu\text{m}$$

Generalization to 3D :

- 3 pairs of counterpropagating beams with opposite circular polarisations

- a pair of « quadrupole » coils, in anti-Helmholtz configuration which realize gradients along the three directions





Courtesy of BCIT

Beauty of magneto optical traps





Capture velocity : $v_c = \frac{\Gamma}{k} \left(\frac{L}{l} \frac{I}{I_{sat}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $l = \frac{M\Gamma}{\hbar k^3}$

For $s_0 = 0.1$, and L = 1 inch, this gives for Rb $v_c = 23$ m/s

In practice, one operates

- at larger saturation parameters $s_0 \gtrsim 1$
- at detunings Δ in the range $[-5\Gamma; -3\Gamma]$

The parameters are set to optimize the capture efficiency (and not the temperature)

Also, the temperature of the MOT is influenced by the magnetic field gradient.

T is typically in the order of tens of μK

Magneto optical trap dynamics

Equation that governs the evolution of the number of atoms trapped in the MOT:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = R - \gamma N - \beta \int n^2(\boldsymbol{r}, t) d^3 \boldsymbol{r}$$

• *R* the loading rate is the flux of incoming trapped atoms. *R* is in the range $[10^8; 10^{10}]$ at/s

• γ is the one-body loss rate, linked to collisions with the background gas. γ depends on the background pressure. It is in the range [0.01; 1]/s for pressures in the range [10⁻⁹; 10⁻¹¹] mbar

• β is a two body loss rate related to binary collisions between trapped atoms. It depends on the atoms, but is usually in the range $[10^{-9}; 10^{-11}]$ cm³/s

Magneto optical trap dynamics



For large MOTs, an other process is at play : photon reabsorption

The cloud become so dense that photons scattered by the atoms can be reabsorbed before leaving the cloud. This leads to a repulsive force that increases the size of the cloud (up \sim a few mm size).

This occurs at densities of order of 10^{10} at/cm³ and for atom numbers of order of 10^{8}

Very large MOTs of order of 10¹⁰ atoms exhibit (dynamical) instabilities.



Phys. Rev. A 101, 053626 (2020)

The 2D MOT

K. Dieckmann at al, Phys. Rev. A 58, 3891 (1998)



« Pure 2D » MOT

J. Schoser et al., PRA, 66, 023410 (2002)



2D MOTs produce intense flux of >10¹⁰ atoms/s at mean velocities of around 10-20 m/s

2D MOTs can be used as the 3D MOT source

- 1 : Radiative forces
- 2 : Doppler cooling
- 3 : The magneto optical trap
- 4 : Technological aspects



b) « Surface MOT » or « mirror MOT » with 4 beams. The 2 missing beams are generated by reflections on the surface.

c) « Grating MOT »
A single beam normal to the grating
4 other beams are generated by diffraction on the grating
the 6th being the reflected beam (0th order)



J. A. Rushton et al., RSI 85, 121501 (2014)



Replacing coils by (straight) wires S. Jöllenbeck, et al, Phys. Rev. A 83, 043406 (2011)



Magneto optical trap sources

• Atomic vapour in a cell

The reservoir can be an ampoule

• Effusive beam

Heated ovens produce intense beams of thermal (fast) atoms, slowed down using Zeeman slower or chirped lasers



AOSense Cold atomic beam system

• 2D MOTs

Intense source of slow atoms



SYRTE 2D MOT system



or a dispenser



Magneto optical trap sources

Comparison between the different sources

Source	Flux	Size	Vacuum	Complexity
Vapour	10 ⁸ atoms/s	negligible	Limited to >10 ⁻⁹ mbar	Low
Atomic beam	10 ¹¹ -10 ¹² atoms/s	meter long setup	Differential pumping	High
2D-MOT	10 ⁹ -10 ¹⁰ atoms/s	1 liter	Differential pumping	Medium

References

Articles:

On radiation forces:

A. Ashkin, Science, **210**, 1081 (1980)
V.S. Letokhov and V.G. Minogin, Phys.Reports, **73**, 1 (1981)
S. Stenholm, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 699 (1986)
C.S. Adams and E. Riis, Prog. Quant. Electr. **21**, 1 (1997).

On Doppler cooling:

T.W. Hänsch and A.L. Schawlow, Opt. Commun. **13**, 68 (1975). D. Wineland and H. Dehmelt, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **20**, 637 (1975)

On laser cooling:

Lectures of the 1997 Nobel Prize: S. Chu, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 685 (1998), C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 707 (1998), W.D. Phillips, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 721 (1998).

References

Books:

C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc and G. Grynberg, *Atom-photon interactions, Basic processes and applications*, (Wiley, New York, 1992).

Harold J. Metcalf, Peter van der Straten, Laser Cooling and Trapping (Springer)

Lectures (in French): C. Cohen-Tannoudji, Leçons du collège de France, 1982-1983